

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,266	04/02/2004	Grant Edward Carlson	STL11849	1782
7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER		
Fellers, Snider, Blankenship,			KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
Bailey & Tippens, P.C. 100 North Broadway Avenue, Suite 1700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Oklahoma City, OK 73102-8820			3635	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/817,266	CARLSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Basil Katcheves	3635			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period fo	r Reply	•	,			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. TO (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 A	April 2004.				
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	V				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	١.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	•	•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) 🔲	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	ব					
Attachment	• •					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/2/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter of claims 6 and 7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 7 and 13 do not clearly describe the components and their relation to each other. The claims have been examined as best understood. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8-12, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being U.S. Patent No. 4,181,556 to Fehlmann.

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 10, Fehlmann discloses a reinforced corrugated first and second panels (fig. 2), the panels having a non planar boss (25) and openings (area near 12 & 22), the panels receiving each other from opening to boss. (figs. 2 & 3). Application/Control Number: 10/817,266

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claim 3, Fehlmann discloses the panels as having a plurality of bosses and openings.

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Fehlmann discloses the first and second bosses/corrugations as opposed (fig. 2).

Regarding claims 5 and 11, Fehlmann discloses the first and second bosses as interleaved (fig. 2).

Regarding claims 6 and 12, Fehlmann discloses opposing web pairs (fig. 1: see left side near 100 and opposing end right side) joined when the sheets are paired.

Regarding claim 8, Fehlmann discloses joined corrugated panels as disclosed in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Fehlmann discloses first and second corrugation heights having a sum (fig. 3: two times the distance 10 to 20) which is greater than the thickness of the joined panels.

Claim 14 is rejected for reasons cited in the rejection of claim 1. In addition, the joined panel of Fehlmann may be construed as "stacked" (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 15, Fehlmann discloses the corrugations as having opposed directions (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 16, Fehlmann discloses two panels, each having their own material, their own thickness and corrugation height.

Regarding claim 17, Fehlmann discloses the dimensions of each panel as being a function of a similar panel, an even, similar function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,181,556 to Fehlmann.

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Fehlmann does not particularly disclose thickness determination. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the thicknesses in such a manner as to have a panel which has an overall thickness needed for particular applications where durability, size constraints, etc are required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 and 13 are allowed. The claims appear to be drawn to allowable subject matter however, final determination of allowability for the claims will be made after all 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections have been corrected.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,266

Art Unit: 3635

Conclusion

Page 6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to corrugated panels in general.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK

Basil Katcheves

12/2/05

Examiner AU 3635